

Exhibit G

Confidential - Subject to Stipulation and Order of Confidentiality

Page 1

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
LAW DIVISION - ATLANTIC COUNTY

CIVIL ACTION

- - -

| | |
|---------------------------------|--------------|
| IN RE: | :CASE NO. |
| PELVIC MESH/GYNECARE LITIGATION | :291 CT |
| | : |
| | :MASTER CASE |
| | :6341-10 |

CONFIDENTIAL

SUBJECT TO STIPULATION AND ORDER OF CONFIDENTIALITY

November 12, 2015

Videotaped realtime deposition of MARTIN WEISBERG, M.D., was taken pursuant to notice and held at the law offices of RIKER DANZIG SCHERER HYLAND PERRETTI LLP, Headquarters Plaza, One Speedwell Avenue, Morristown, New Jersey, beginning at 9:39 a.m. on the above date, before Kimberly A. Cahill, a Federally Approved Registered Merit Reporter and Notary Public for the State of New Jersey.

- - -

GOLKOW TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
877.370.3377 ph|917.591.5672 fax
deps@golkow.com

Confidential - Subject to Stipulation and Order of Confidentiality

| Page 90 | Page 92 |
|---|--|
| <p>1 necessary to treat these complications, while some</p> <p>2 complications may not always be completely</p> <p>3 corrected.</p> <p>4 That language was not in the IFU.</p> <p>5 Right?</p> <p>6 A. The language was not in the IFU, nor</p> <p>7 necessary.</p> <p>8 MR. SLATER: Move to strike "nor</p> <p>9 necessary."</p> <p>10 BY MR. SLATER:</p> <p>11 Q. Ultimately, revisions were made to</p> <p>12 the IFU; correct?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. Is it Ethicon's position that none of</p> <p>15 those revisions were actually necessary to provide</p> <p>16 information to doctors or patients to impact on the</p> <p>17 safety of the use of the device?</p> <p>18 A. Well, the IFU is written for doctors</p> <p>19 and the information that was subsequently added was</p> <p>20 really just an explanation of either things that</p> <p>21 were in there or things that are common, basic</p> <p>22 knowledge that every physician knows.</p> <p>23 Every physician knows that the</p> <p>24 procedure may not be successful and may need to be</p> <p>25 revised and it may not always work. Every physician</p> | <p>1 Q. With regard to Gynemesh PS, the</p> <p>2 information that was added to the IFU, it's</p> <p>3 Ethicon's position none of that information was</p> <p>4 necessary; correct?</p> <p>5 A. Correct.</p> <p>6 Q. From Ethicon's perspective, the</p> <p>7 information that was added to the Gynemesh PS IFU,</p> <p>8 none of it was necessary to enhance the safety of</p> <p>9 the use of the Gynemesh PS; correct?</p> <p>10 A. That's correct.</p> <p>11 Q. From Ethicon's perspective, there was</p> <p>12 no safety reason whatsoever to add any of the</p> <p>13 information that was added to the Gynemesh PS IFU;</p> <p>14 correct?</p> <p>15 A. I'm sorry. Was that different from</p> <p>16 the previous question?</p> <p>17 Q. Probably not.</p> <p>18 A. Well, my answer is the same if it's</p> <p>19 the same question.</p> <p>20 Q. There was no safety reason whatsoever</p> <p>21 to add any of the information that was added to the</p> <p>22 Prolift -- rephrase. Now you got me.</p> <p>23 There was no safety reason whatsoever</p> <p>24 from Ethicon's perspective to add the information</p> <p>25 that Ethicon added to the Gynemesh PS IFU; correct?</p> |
| Page 91 | Page 93 |
| <p>1 knows that.</p> <p>2 That's an example. I mean, I could</p> <p>3 go through all of them.</p> <p>4 Q. Has your company ever conducted a</p> <p>5 formal study of what complications were understood</p> <p>6 by the day-to-day users of your pelvic mesh device</p> <p>7 or devices, a formal study, a scientific study?</p> <p>8 A. Addressing that particular --</p> <p>9 Q. Right.</p> <p>10 A. -- question?</p> <p>11 Q. Yes.</p> <p>12 A. Not that I'm aware of.</p> <p>13 Q. From Ethicon's perspective, the</p> <p>14 information that was added to the adverse reactions</p> <p>15 in the TVT IFU was not necessary. Right?</p> <p>16 A. Correct.</p> <p>17 Q. From Ethicon's perspective, the</p> <p>18 information that was added to the TVT IFU was not</p> <p>19 necessary for any safety reason whatsoever. Right?</p> <p>20 A. Correct.</p> <p>21 Q. The information that was added to the</p> <p>22 TVT IFU was not placed there in order to increase</p> <p>23 the safety of the TVT in any way. That's Ethicon's</p> <p>24 position; correct?</p> <p>25 A. That's correct.</p> | <p>1 A. That's correct.</p> <p>2 Q. And if somebody were to say that</p> <p>3 there was -- that the -- rephrase.</p> <p>4 If someone were to say that</p> <p>5 information was added to the TVT IFU, any of the TVT</p> <p>6 IFUs, as it was added to those IFUs -- rephrase.</p> <p>7 If somebody were to say that the</p> <p>8 information that was added to the TVT IFUs was added</p> <p>9 for a safety reason, you would disagree with that.</p> <p>10 A. Are you saying all the information</p> <p>11 together or --</p> <p>12 Q. The information --</p> <p>13 A. -- pieces of --</p> <p>14 Q. -- that would be added to the TVT IFU</p> <p>15 in 2015, if someone were to say that information was</p> <p>16 added for safety reasons, you would disagree.</p> <p>17 A. I would.</p> <p>18 Q. If somebody were to say that the</p> <p>19 information that was added to the Gynemesh PS IFU in</p> <p>20 2015 was added for a safety reason, you would</p> <p>21 disagree.</p> <p>22 A. I would.</p> <p>23 Q. And you're speaking for Ethicon.</p> <p>24 Right?</p> <p>25 A. I am. Speaking for medical affairs</p> |

24 (Pages 90 to 93)